Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/580,863	OBAE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Ganapathy Krishnan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Mark Henry.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 April 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 10 and 24 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER see continuation sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Ganapathy Krishnan/		
Examiner, Art Unit 1623	nnlicent/Annlicent?a Danuscantat	ive Signature if annuamieta)
(A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive orginature – ir appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Informed the applicant that:

- 1. The terms "non-reducing sugar residue" in claim 10 should be replaced by the terms "fructosyl group"
- 2. The limitation regarding the specific enzyme recited in claim 25 should be inserted in claim 24 and claim 25 should be cancelled.

The above proposed amendments would put the pending claims in a condition for allowance and sought permission for an Examiner's amendment.

Applicants agreed to the proposed amendments above and authorized an Examiner's amendment.